

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Herbert Pershen

Department: Computer Science

Course: 470 Section: 1

Semester: Spring, 2001

Number of students responding: 5

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	0	3.83	.3697
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.68	0	3.64	.5746
C. Encouraged class participation	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.54	0	3.70	.5456
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	1	3.85	.3818
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	0	3.70	.5313
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.46	0	3.53	.7236
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.68	0	3.58	.6311
H. Explained the grading system clearly	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.82	0	3.63	.5959
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	0	3.76	.5220
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.80	0	3.61	.6353
K. Had a personal interest in me	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	1	3.84	.6330
L. Treated me with respect	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	1	3.84	.4253
M. Was available for help outside of class	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	0	3.72	.5283
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.54	0	3.47	.7082
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.76	0	3.66	.5771

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 0 Male: 5
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 0 Sophomore: 1 Junior: 2 Senior: 2 Other: 0
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?
 Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 5
 Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0
 Elective, not required in any way: 0

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	0	5	0	0

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	1	4	0	0	0	0	1.80	1.84	.9201
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	1	1	1	1	1	0	3.00	2.14	.9541
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	1	0	1	0	0	3	2.00	2.08	.9039
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	1	0	0	0	0	4	1.00	2.11	1.0620
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	1	1	3	0	0	0	2.40	1.92	.9791

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Robert Derscher

Department: Computer Science

Course: 250 Section: 1

Semester: Spring, 2001

Number of students responding: 32

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	24	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.90	8	3.83	.3697
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	13	9	4	2	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	3.51	13	3.64	.5746
C. Encouraged class participation	12	6	8	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	3.48	1	3.70	.5456
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	28	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.93	7	3.85	.3818
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	23	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3.79	2	3.70	.5313
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	14	7	3	4	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3.48	6	3.53	.7236
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	16	8	5	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	3.61	4	3.58	.6311
H. Explained the grading system clearly	27	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	0	3.63	.5959
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	28	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3.88	5	3.76	.5220
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	22	6	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.78	8	3.61	.6353
K. Had a personal interest in me	23	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3.76	2	3.64	.6330
L. Treated me with respect	29	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.96	0	3.84	.4253
M. Was available for help outside of class	22	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.74	4	3.72	.5263
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	21	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3.75	3	3.47	.7082
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	21	5	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.71	0	3.66	.5771

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 2 Male: 30
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 10 Sophomore: 10 Junior: 9 Senior: 0 Other: 2
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?
 Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 24
 Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 1
 Elective, not required in any way: 1

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
1	6	20	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	3	23	5	1

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	9	14	5	0	2	0	2.07	1.84	.9201
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	0	15	8	3	4	0	2.87	2.14	.9541
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	2	1	2	3	0	22	2.75	2.08	.9039
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	3	3	3	1	0	20	2.20	2.11	1.0620
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	7	15	7	0	1	0	2.10	1.92	.9791

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Herbert Pershem

Department: Computer Science

Course: 225 Section: 1

Semester: Spring, 2001

Number of students responding: 17

I. Teacher Evaluation

Number of students responding to															— Campus —	
I. Teacher Evaluation	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	15	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	2	3.83	.3697
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	9	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.76	4	3.64	.5746
C. Encouraged class participation	10	3	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.69	1	3.70	.5456
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	14	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.92	3	3.85	.3818
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	13	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.85	1	3.70	.5313
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	10	1	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3.65	7	3.53	.7236
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	11	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.81	1	3.58	.6311
H. Explained the grading system clearly	11	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.69	3	3.63	.5959
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	13	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	1	3.76	.5220
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	13	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.86	4	3.61	.6353
K. Had a personal interest in me	11	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.82	1	3.64	.6330
L. Treated me with respect	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	1	3.84	.4253
M. Was available for help outside of class	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.90	3	3.72	.5283
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	11	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.78	0	3.47	.7082
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	9	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.76	0	3.66	.5771

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 2 Male: 15
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 9 Sophomore: 3 Junior: 1 Senior: 0 Other: 2
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?
 Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 14
 Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 1
 Elective, not required in any way: 0
- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fall	No Credit
0	1	9	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	0	11	4	0

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

							— Campus —		
	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	9	5	1	0	0	0	1.47	1.84	.9201
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	4	6	1	0	0	0	1.73	2.14	.9541
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	0	1	1	0	0	13	2.50	2.08	.9039
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessions	0	8	1	1	0	3	2.30	2.11	1.0620
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	6	3	1	2	0	0	1.92	1.92	.9791

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Dershem

Department: Computer Science

Course: 225 Section: 1

Semester: Fall, 2000

Number of students responding: 21

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	17	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	4	3.81	.3986
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	15	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.90	10	3.59	.6065
C. Encouraged class participation	12	4	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.78	1	3.68	.5302
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	17	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.92	4	3.82	.4154
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	14	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	1	3.67	.5637
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	12	5	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.77	5	3.50	.7349
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	11	4	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.69	3	3.54	.6498
H. Explained the grading system clearly	12	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.70	2	3.58	.6273
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	18	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.93	2	3.73	.5369
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	14	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	4	3.57	.6169
K. Had a personal interest in me	13	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.85	4	3.59	.6599
L. Treated me with respect	18	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	0	3.82	.4459
M. Was available for help outside of class	17	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.92	1	3.74	.4927
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	15	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	1	3.41	.7253
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	14	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.86	0	3.63	.6003

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 0 Male: 21
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 11 Sophomore: 4 Junior: 5 Senior: 0 Other: 1
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?
 Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 18
 Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 1
 Elective, not required in any way: 1

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
0	0	13	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
1	2	14	3	1

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	— Campus — Mean S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	14	7	0	0	0	0	1.33	1.91 .9869
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	5	12	3	1	0	0	2.00	2.20 1.0144
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	1	3	2	1	0	14	2.43	2.19 .9549
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	7	11	2	0	0	1	1.75	2.19 1.0968
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	9	12	0	0	0	0	1.57	1.99 1.0109

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Dorshem

Department: Computer Science

Course: 361 Section: 1

Semester: Fall, 2000

Number of students responding: 19

I. Teacher Evaluation

														— Campus —		
	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	12	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.85	2	3.81	.3986
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	5	4	4	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.46	7	3.59	.6065
C. Encouraged class participation	7	3	4	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.47	4	3.68	.5302
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	12	4	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.79	7	3.82	.4154
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	8	6	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.69	2	3.67	.5637
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	3	3	4	4	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.17	8	3.50	.7349
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	4	7	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.55	5	3.54	.6498
H. Explained the grading system clearly	10	4	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.62	0	3.58	.6273
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	11	4	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.74	2	3.73	.5369
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	5	6	5	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.55	2	3.57	.6469
K. Had a personal interest in me	8	4	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.62	2	3.59	.6599
L. Treated me with respect	13	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.83	0	3.82	.4459
M. Was available for help outside of class	13	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.85	1	3.74	.4927
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	13	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.77	2	3.41	.7253
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	5	6	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.58	0	3.63	.6003

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 3 Male: 16
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 0 Sophomore: 0 Junior: 12 Senior: 7 Other: 0
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?
 Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 18
 Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0
 Elective, not required in any way: 0

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fall	No Credit
0	7	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	0	9	7	2

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

							— Campus —		
	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	3	8	6	1	0	1	2.28	1.91	.9669
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	2	7	8	1	1	0	2.58	2.20	1.0144
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	1	2	1	0	0	15	2.00	2.19	.9549
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	1	9	3	1	0	5	2.29	2.19	1.0988
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	2	6	10	1	0	0	2.53	1.99	1.0109

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Dershem

Department: Computer Science

Course: 363 Section: 1

Semester: Fall, 2000

Number of students responding: 15

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Campus	
															Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	10	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.90	1	3.81	.3986
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	6	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.77	1	3.59	.6065
C. Encouraged class participation	7	5	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.72	0	3.88	.5302
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	12	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	2	3.82	.4154
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	11	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.89	0	3.67	.5637
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	7	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.67	6	3.50	.7349
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	9	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.83	4	3.54	.6498
H. Explained the grading system clearly	8	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.81	0	3.58	.6273
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	10	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.87	2	3.73	.5309
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	5	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.72	1	3.57	.6469
K. Had a personal interest in me	9	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.76	3	3.59	.6599
L. Treated me with respect	11	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.92	0	3.82	.4459
M. Was available for help outside of class	12	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.91	1	3.74	.4927
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	10	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.87	1	3.41	.7253
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	8	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.83	0	3.63	.6003

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 2 Male: 12
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 0 Sophomore: 0 Junior: 9 Senior: 6 Other: 0

- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?

Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 11

Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0

Elective, not required in any way: 1

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
0	2	9	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	1	7	7	0

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Campus	
								Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	7	6	2	0	0	0	1.67	1.91	.9669
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	4	6	4	0	0	1	2.00	2.20	1.0144
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	1	1	0	0	0	13	1.50	2.19	.9549
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	4	6	1	0	0	4	1.73	2.19	1.0988
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	5	8	2	0	0	0	1.80	1.99	1.0109

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Dershem

Department: Computer Science

Course: 481 Section: 1

Semester: Fall, 2000

Number of students responding: 16

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	9	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.82	0	3.81	.3986
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	8	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.79	4	3.59	.6065
C. Encouraged class participation	13	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.92	2	3.68	.5302
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	13	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	0	3.82	.4154
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.96	1	3.67	.5637
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	8	4	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.66	3	3.50	.7349
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	11	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.77	3	3.54	.6498
H. Explained the grading system clearly	9	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.79	0	3.58	.6273
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	11	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.91	3	3.73	.5369
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	7	4	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.67	1	3.57	.6460
K. Had a personal interest in me	12	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	3	3.59	.6590
L. Treated me with respect	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.96	0	3.82	.4459
M. Was available for help outside of class	13	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	0	3.74	.4027
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	6	4	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.59	1	3.41	.7253
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	11	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	0	3.63	.6003

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 1 Male: 15
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 0 Sophomore: 0 Junior: 0 Senior: 16 Other: 0
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?
 Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 15
 Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0
 Elective, not required in any way: 0

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
0	0	9	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
2	0	6	8	0

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	7	7	0	1	0	0	1.67	1.91	.9669
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	0	0	0	0	0	15	0.00	2.20	1.0144
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	0	3	1	0	0	11	2.25	2.19	.9549
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	0	0	1	0	0	14	3.00	2.19	1.0988
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	6	5	2	2	0	0	2.00	1.99	1.0109